

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/627,796	Applicant(s) TANEJA, KRISHAN L.	
	Examiner Jehanne S. Sitton	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:
 (1) Jehanne S. Sitton
 (2) Naishadh Desai
Date of Interview: 12 February 2011

Status of Application: Quayle
 (3) _____
 (4) _____
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that PNA was a term of Art and also taught by the specification. She suggested deleting the recitation of actual structures from the claims as they are not required. Further, the examiner indicated that since the SEQ ID NOS were recited in the claims, the recitation of the nucleotide sequences was not required. The examiner suggested the enclosed claim amendments related to the elected invention. The applicant's representative agreed to the claim amendments in an examiner's amendment. .